

Life in Wyre Task Group - Scoping Document

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Review Topic | Life in Wyre Residents' Survey | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chairman | To be confirmed | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Group Membership | To be confirmed | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Officer Support | Peter Foulsham, Scrutiny Officer | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Purpose of the Review | To review the Life in Wyre Survey and to better understand its use and identify areas for improvement to ensure the Council gets best value from the survey. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Role of Overview and Scrutiny in this Review (mark all that apply) | <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">Holding Executive to account – decisions</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Existing budget and policy framework</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contribution to policy development</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Holding Executive to account – performance</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Community champion</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Statutory duties / compliance with codes of practice</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> | Holding Executive to account – decisions | <input type="checkbox"/> | Existing budget and policy framework | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contribution to policy development | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Holding Executive to account – performance | <input type="checkbox"/> | Community champion | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Statutory duties / compliance with codes of practice | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Holding Executive to account – decisions | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Existing budget and policy framework | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contribution to policy development | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Holding Executive to account – performance | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Community champion | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statutory duties / compliance with codes of practice | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aims of Review | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ To understand the Life in Wyre Residents Survey (LIW) in terms of: background, history, analysis, use, relevance, benefits, outcomes ○ To consider the results by area and highlight any differences ○ To explore possible reasons for the decline in reported satisfaction with (i) parks and open spaces, and (ii) sport and leisure facilities ○ To consider the costs and benefits of Life in Wyre ○ To identify gaps ○ To identify areas for improvement | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Methodology | <p>Interviewing witnesses at task group meetings</p> <p>Reviewing literature</p> <p>Benchmarking with other local authorities</p> <p>Focus Group with respondents</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--|
| Scope of Review | The review will be limited to the section of the LIW Survey which relates directly to Wyre Council. It will exclude the Clinical Commissioning Group's section entitled 'Community-Based Health Services'. |
| Potential Witnesses | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Health and Community Engagement Portfolio Holder ○ Wyre Council officers ○ Representative from Infusion, research and consultation contractors |
| Documents to be considered | Life in Wyre questionnaire Reports to Council and Cabinet http://www.wyre.gov.uk/downloads/download/829/life_in_wyre_survey_results |
| Risks | None identified. |
| Level of Publicity | Low |
| Indicators of a Successful Review | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Recommendations for areas for improvement ○ Recommendation(s) about future residents surveys and/or how the council might collect residents' opinions about the council and partner services. |
| Intended Outcomes | Clear evidence base in place to make informed decisions |
| Approximate Timeframe | 3 months |
| Projected Start Date | April 2017 |